GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS. Secrt. II-28.—60,006—4-1-52.

Health (1952) Department.

~~Endorsement~~
~~No.~~
~~Memorandum~~
~~Lr. No.~~

No. B 1453 - M/52-1 Health

Dated 1953

closed

Sd/

Sd/

FURTHER PAPERS.

Department.	Number.	Subject.	Date.
G.O.Ms.No.2997. Health 26.6.50.			
G.O.Ms. 3977, Health 21.4.51			

126406

From

Siddiah,
(Male Nursing Orderly, Govt. Hospital)
Big Parivara Street,
Kollegal.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Health Department,
Fort St. George,
Madras.



Through

The Director of Medical Services,
Madras.

and;

The District Medical Officer,
Coimbatore.

Sir,

I was working as a Male Nursing Orderly in Government Hospital, Kollegal and as Nursing Orderlies were declared to be superior Government servants in Government Memo No.94027-H/48-5 P.H dated 9-1-50, I was retired from service on 1-7-60 on attaining my 55th year.

I now understand that some Nursing Orderlies at Government Head quarters Hospital, Coimbatore who are similarly retired from service and whose conditions of service are similar to mine have been permitted to rejoin and allowed to be in service till they attain 60 years. These Nursing Orderlies, I further understand, were granted a pension in view of their superior service and were better placed than me. In my case, I was not even granted a pension as my short superior service did not earn me any pension but allowed a gratuity of about Rs. 275/- sanctioned in A.G.Ad.I/261 No.P.A. ( ) 1950 dated 25-1-52. I applied in my petition dated 20-10-1950 to the District Medical Officer, Coimbatore to kindly permit me to work till I attain 60 years of age and allow me to retire thereafter but I was not favoured with any reply.

I am a family man having practically no resources and also quite incapable to earn my livelihood now at this stage. But I feel physically strong and can carry on the duties of a Nursing Orderly if I am permitted to rejoin duty. I therefore request you to kindly order my re-instatement as a special case as early as possible.

For which act of kindness, and benevolence I will ever remain grateful.

Coimbatore,
D/29-11-52.

I beg to remain,
Yours most obediently,

(Sd) Siddiah

Copy to the Secretary to Government, Health Department, Madras direct to avoid delay.
Copy to the ~~District~~ Director of Medical Service to avoid delay.

VI.

R.No.10550-52 dated 9-12-52

Forwarded to the Director of Medical Services, Madras.

The petitioner retired from service with effect from 1-7-50 with reference to orders contained in Govt. Memorandum No.94027-H-48 dated 9-1-50 and G.O.No.2997 Health dated 26-8-50. His petition dated 20-10-50 for re-appointment was forwarded in this office C.No.188-E1-50 dated 20-10-50.

Government in their Memo.No.45032-A-51-2 Health date 13-11-51 copy communicated in your Endt.Ref.No.90545-G1-51-4 dated 20-11-51 have ordered that the Nursing orderlies and other staff already retired from service with reference to G.O. cited in para 1 need not be taken back into service. It was also stated in your Endorsement The question of any nursing orderly exercising his option to remain in inferior service does not arise. They will continue to be treated as belonging to superior service and their retention in service beyond the age of 55 years will not be in order'. The above orders were communicated to the Medical Officers in this office C.No.546-E1-52 dated 26-11-51. Two and a half years have ~~been~~ lapsed since the petitioner retired, the question of reinstatement of this nursing orderly is not similar to those two nursing orderlies who retired in December 51 and January 52 respectively, who were reinstated in service as a special case in G.O.No.Ms.3341 Health dated 25--10--52 copy communicated in your P.No.347-G1-52 dated 29-10-52. So his requ-est is not recommended.

Dist. Medical Officer, Coimbatore.

9-12-52

Ref.122236-G1/52-1 Office of the Director of Medical Services, Madras-6, dated 15-12-1952.

Sub:- Siddiah - Ex-Male Nursing Orderly, Government Hospital, Kollegal - Request for appointment till 60th year.

61453 Ref:- Endt. R.No.10550-52 dated 9-12-1952 of the District Medical Officer, Coimbatore.

Forwarded to Government.

2. The request of the petitioner for re-employment till 60th year is not recommended.

for Director of Medical Services. 15.12.52

PN.15-12-

To

The Secretary to Government, Health Department, Madras.

****

Health (A) Dept.

Cro. 61453/52 Sub:— Establishment — Govt. Hospital, Kollegal — Sri Siddiah, retired male nursing orderly — Request for reinstatement and retention in service upto his age 60 years — negatived.

Ref:— From Sri Siddiah, petition dt. 29.12.52
From the D.H.S. No. 122236-31/52-1 dt. 15.12.52

MEMO. NO. 61453-H/52-1 Health, dt. 29.1.53

The petitioner is informed that his request cannot be complied with.

28/1/53

AV
29.1.53

26.1.53

To
Sri Siddiah,
Big Pantpara Street
Kollegal,
Coimbatore Dt.

Copy to:— The D.H.S.
(S/c for info.)

Health (A) Dept

Gen 61/453/52

Routine

The R.O. required for this file have all been put up in and this file sent to Sri. for remarks. They may kindly see all receipts of this file back in this Section on or before 15.1.53, whichever is earlier.

Re
22/12/52

AV
22-12-52

22-12-52

Heallh (N) Sec'tt

61453
C.No. ~~H2 6406~~/52 Subject:- Establishment - Govt. Hospital, Kollegal - Sri Siddaiah, retired male nursing orderly - Re-instatement and retention in service upto the age of 60 years.

Ref:- From the Sri Siddaiah, petition dt 29/12/52
From the D.H.S, No 122236-80/52 + dt 15/1/53

Please see the petition from Sri Siddaiah ~~dt~~ a retired nursing orderly of the Govt Hospital Kollegal at p.1 cf. The petitioner requests that he may be re-instated in service and permitted to serve Govt. till he completes 60 years of age as a special case on the analogy of the orders issued in G.O.MS. No. 3341, Health, dated 25/10/52 in the case of two nursing orderlies of Govt. Headquarters Hospital, Coimbatore. The D.H.O. Coimbatore, who has forwarded the application, has stated that 2½ years have lapsed since the petitioner has retired from service and that the case of the petitioner for re-instatement is not similar to those two nursing orderlies who were ordered to be re-instated in service in the G.O. referred to above. He has not recommended the request of the petitioner. The D.H.S. also does not recommend the re-instatement and retention till his 60th year.

~~In the circumstances, it is~~ for consideration whether the petitioner may be informed that his request cannot be complied with. For orders.

'A' above may be approved

15-1-53

19/1/53

SRK
19.1.53.

M. (Ht.) 20/1

Headlr (Pt) Sept

C.No. 61453 / 12644-06/52

Subject: Establishment – Govt. Hospital, Kollegal. Sri Siddaiah, retrenched male nursing orderly – Reinstatement and retention in service upto the age of 60 years.

Ref:– From the Sri Siddaiah, petition dt 29/12/52
From the D.H.S, No 122236-80/52-4 dt 15/12/52

Please see the petition from Sri Siddaiah ~~etc~~ a retrenched nursing orderly of the Govt. Hospital Kollegal at p.1 cf. The petitioner requests that he may be reinstated in service and permitted to serve Govt. till he completes 60 years of age as a special case on the analogy of the orders issued in G.O.Ms. No. 3341, Health, dated 25/10/52 in the case of the nursing orderlies of Govt. Headquarters Hospital, Coimbatore. The D.H.O. Coimbatore, who has forwarded the application, has stated that 2½ years have lapsed since the petitioner has retired from service and that the case of the petitioner for reinstatement is not similar to those the nursing orderlies who were ordered to be reinstated in service in the G.O. referred to above. He has not recommended the request of the petitioner. The D.H.S. also does not recommend the reinstatement and retention till his 60th years.

~~In the circumstances, it is for~~ consideration whether the petitioner may be informed that his request cannot be complied with. For orders.

'A' above may be approved

12/1/53

15-1-53

18/1/53

SRK anon

19.1.53.

20/1

H. (Ht)

Health (M) Deptt

With reference to the orders in circulation of Paulte, a draft Memo is submitted for approval

AV
29.1.53

29/1/53

31.1.53

(G.O.I. Memo. 6 (45)3 - M/52 - Health dt 29.1.53)